Experimental investigation of shell-and-tube heat exchanger with different type of baffles
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Abstract

In last two decades many technical, experimental and numerical models are being presented on shell and tube heat exchanger by many researchers. In industries shell and tube heat exchangers are used for different applications e.g. for waste heat recovery, oil refineries etc. This paper focus on the experimental investigation of shell and tube heat exchanger with different type of baffles. The shell and tube heat exchanger with segmental baffles and flower baffles are designed, fabricated and tested. The thermal and hydraulic performance of both heat exchangers are compared with same operating conditions. The heat exchanger with flower baffle gives more efficient overall performance up to 25-32% than segmental baffles heat exchanger. Also the pressure drop gets reduced in flower baffle heat exchanger up to 20-28% than segmental baffles heat exchanger.
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1. Introduction

Shell-and-tube heat exchangers (STHXs) are widely applied in various industrial fields such as power generation and chemical process, petroleum refining, waste heat recovery, condensers, steam generation set. Shell-and-tube heat exchanger gives relatively high ratio of heat transfer area to volume. It can be easily cleaned. It is more flexible than other type of heat exchanger. It can be design for high pressure environment and fluid steams having high pressure difference.

Various geometrical modification has been done in shell and tube heat exchanger to increase thermal and hydraulic performance. The heat exchanger with segmental baffle(SB-STHX) gives low thermal performance i.e. it gives low heat transfer coefficient and the leakages are more so the pressure drop is also more and because of this the overall performance gets reduced. To overcome with this problem flower baffles are used (Youghu You 2012). Geometry of flower baffles is simple and are easy to manufacture compare to segmental baffles.

2. Configuration and fabrication of STHXs with different type of baffles.

The STHXs with helical and V-type of baffles gives better overall performance but they are difficult to manufacture. Segmental baffles are easy to manufacture but the pressure drop is higher. Fig 1. shows segmental baffle arrangement in STHX Fig 2. shows flower baffle arrangement in STHX.
Fabrication of segmental and flower baffle is same.

**Table 1. Structure parameter of SG-STHX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shell inner dia: ( \phi 132 \text{mm} )</td>
<td>Tube effective length: 1000mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell side fluid: cool water</td>
<td>Tube side fluid: warm water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tube: 20</td>
<td>Tube arrangement: triangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tube passes: 4</td>
<td>Number of baffles: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube OD: 13mm</td>
<td>Pull rod diameter: 8mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube ID: 9mm</td>
<td>Heat transfer area: 0.8168m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pull rod: 4</td>
<td>Tube side flow area: 0.000318 m²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Structure parameter of FB-STHX**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shell inner dia: ( \phi 132 \text{mm} )</td>
<td>Tube effective length: 1000mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shell side fluid: cool water</td>
<td>Tube side fluid: warm water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tube: 20</td>
<td>Tube arrangement: triangular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of tube passes: 4</td>
<td>Number of baffles: 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube OD: 13mm</td>
<td>Pull rod diameter: 8mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tube ID: 9mm</td>
<td>Baffle Angle geometry - 90°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of pull rod: 4</td>
<td>Baffle arrangement: staggered</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 and 2 shows that structural parameter of SG-STHX and FB-STHX respectively.

**3. Experimental setup and procedure**

**Fig. 3** Schematic diagram of experimental setup 1,2
Motors 3,4 Rotameters 5,6,7,8 Thermocouples 9,10,11,12 Pressure transducer 13,14 valves

Fig 3 shows schematic diagram of experimental setup for both the heat exchanger.

Hot water loop: cool water gets heated with help of electrical heater. The hot water flows from a water tank via tube into a tube side of STHX. Tube side Outlet also connected to the hot water tank so that fluid can have used for recirculate.

Cool water Tank: Tank contains a tab water which is used as cool water. It supplies as inlet fluid of shell in STHX. Outlet of shell connected with warm water tank to collect and recirculate the warm water.

Rotameters: It is used to measure and control flow of both side fluids.

Thermocouples: K-type of thermocouples are used to measure temperature of both side fluids 5,6,7,8 as shown in fig 3.

Pressure transducer: Used to measure inlet and outlet pressure of tube and shell side of STHX.

Valves: used to control flow of fluids.

**Table number 1 and 2** shows the photos of both STHXs and experimental setup.

**4. Data reduction**

In experiments flow rates, pressure drop, temperatures of shell side and tube side fluids of STHXs were measured. Energy balance calculated with help of flow rates, temperatures of both side of fluid. The heat balance was considered to be achieved when the energy imbalance is less than 5%. Based on the energy balance between the shell side and tube side, the overall heat transfer coefficient of the STHX can be calculated. The same procedure is applied for both the heat exchanger. Tube side heat transfer coefficient is calculated by using Gnielinski’s correlation. Shell side heat transfer coefficient is calculated with the help of overall heat transfer coefficient and tube side heat transfer coefficient as shown in eq. 1.

Over all heat transfer coefficient calculated by using formula

\[
\frac{1}{U_o} = \frac{1}{h_o} + Rw + Rfi + Rfo + \frac{d_o}{di+hi} \]

(1)

Where \( U_o \) is over all heat transfer coefficient, \( h_i \) is tube side heat transfer coefficient, \( h_o \) is shell side heat transfer coefficient, \( Rfi \), fouling coefficient for tube side, \( Rfo \), fouling coefficient for shell side, \( Rw \), thermal resistance for tube wall.

Since the heat exchangers used for experiment are newly fabricated, the effects of the two fouling resistance are negligible. Therefore, the above equation can be expressed as
Overall heat transfer coefficient achieved based on heat transfer correlation

\[
\frac{1}{U_o} = \frac{1}{h_o} + Rw + \frac{do}{di + hi}
\]  (2)

Reynolds number is achieved from the following equation.

\[ Re = \frac{\rho \cdot u \cdot di}{\mu} \]  (13)

So from equation number 11 we can calculate tube side heat transfer coefficient and from equation number 2 we get shell side heat transfer coefficient.

5. Experimental Results and Analysis

The experiments were conducted for the two types of STHXs described in Sect. 3, in which hot water flow through the tube side and cold water flow through the shell side of the STHXs. Performance of two STHXs are compared.

Fig 5 shows the graph between Reynolds number of shell side fluid and shell side heat transfer of SG-STHX. It shows that as Re increases heat transfer coefficient also increases. Fig 6 shows the graph between Reynolds number of shell side fluid and shell side pressure drop of SG-STHX. It shows that when Re
increases pressure drop also increases. A good agreement between the available literature is found with the experimental results.

5.1 Comparison between two STHXS

The comparison of two STHXS are carried out for checking the performance improvement with modification of baffles.

Fig 7. Comparison of ho with Reynolds number between two STHXs at tube side velocity is 0.89 m/s

Fig 8. Comparison of pressure drop with Reynolds number between two STHXs at tube side velocity is 0.89 m/s

Fig 9. Comparison of Uo with Reynolds number between two STHXs at tube side velocity is 0.89 m/s

In a shell and tube heat exchanger compare to segmental and helical baffle, flower baffle gives better thermal and hydraulic performance. Also manufacturing of flower baffles is easy compare to helical baffle. Experimental results show that

1) Shell side heat transfer coefficient in FB-STHX increases up to 35-46% than SG-STHX at same shell side Reynolds number and at tube side velocity of 0.89 m/s.
2) Pressure drop in FB-STHX is less than SG-STHX. Pressure drop decreases up to 20-28%. Under same operating condition i.e. at same shell side Reynolds number and at tube side velocity of 0.89 m/s.
3) Overall heat transfer coefficient in FB-STHX increases up to 25-32% than SG-STHX at same operating conditions i.e. same shell side Reynolds number and at tube side velocity of 0.89 m/s.

Conclusion

Nomenclature

A area (m²)
Cp specific heat (J/kgK)
do outer diameter of tube (m)
di inner diameter of tube (m)
f friction factor
ho shell side heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K)
hi tube side heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K)
k thermal conductivity (W/MK)
Leff effective length (m)
m’ mass flow rate (kg/sec)
N number of tubes
Nu Nusselt number
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>pressure (Pa)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr</td>
<td>Prandtl Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Heat Exchange quality (W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re</td>
<td>Reynolds number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfi</td>
<td>fouling coefficient for tube side (m²K/W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rfo</td>
<td>fouling coefficient for shell side (m²K/W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rw</td>
<td>thermal resistance for tube wall (m²K/W)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>temperature (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>velocity (m/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uo</td>
<td>overall heat transfer coefficient (W/m²K)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Greek symbols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ρ</td>
<td>density (kg/m³)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>dynamic viscosity (Ns/m²)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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