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Abstract

The existing of thermal power stations on rivers results in numerous environmental dilemma. Thermal contamination has come to mean the unfavorable effects of abnormal temperature changes in a natural body of water, caused by the release of industrial cooling water. The discharging of the cooling system of the thermal power stations into rivers may be surface discharge, submerged point discharge and submerged multi-port diffusers. In this research paper, the study is focused on the most common surface discharge method and isotherm map, centre line of temperature decay, and isotherm areas will be studied. Three dimensional (3D) model of the Cooling circuit thermal plume model by considering far field assessment and near field assessment for the thermal power plant cooling water system will be analyzed. In order to quantify the discharge-intake recirculation effect (the temperature increase at the intake point due to the effect of the discharge). The study will cover the stretch of the river indicated for the far field. It is understood that the level of accuracy of the model will be much more precise for the near field than for the far field by using computational fluid dynamics (CFD) 3D model. In case of any discrepancy in the cooling performance of the cooling tower, CFD analysis is used to propose modification to improve the cooling performance.
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1. Introduction

The cooling water of the thermal power plant is considered one of the most pollution sources of rivers, especially for the liquid natural gas stations, which require large amount of refrigeration water. Thermal pollution affects both the physical and chemical characteristics of the flowing water. Also, thermal pollution effect on morphology of the rivers due to the growth of the water plants depending on the water temperature increase and sedimentation process. the chemical reactions is approximately doubled for each 10°C temperature rise, which increase the sedimentation due to changes of water properties, changes in flocculation, and ion exchange. The discharging of the cooling system of the thermal power stations into rivers may be surface discharge, submerged point discharge and submerged multi-port diffusers. In this research paper, the study was focused on the most common surface discharge method.

Submerged discharges and multiport diffusers are finding wider use as means of disposing of waste heat from once-through condenser cooling water systems for power plants. These discharges appear to be superseding surface shoreline discharges for large power plant applications. A reason for employing submerged discharges is that they may create greater initial dilution of the effluent than surface discharges.

Argonne National Laboratory (ANL) has been studying the effects of power-plant waste-heat discharges into the Great Lakes since 1970 Frigo, A.A.(1972). The initial effort involved the study of thermal plumes from power plants employing shoreline surface discharges, ANL began an extensive field program to measure the physical phenomena related to surface shoreline waste-heat discharges into the Great Lakes. Approximately 75 sets of thermal-plume data along with related physical parameters suchas lake currents, ambient diffusivities, and meteorological.

In the present study development of the Cooling circuit thermal plume model by considering far field assessment and near field assessment for the thermal power plant cooling water system will be analyzed using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). In order to quantify the discharge-intake recirculation effect (the temperature increase at the intake point due to the effect of the discharge). The study will cover the stretch of the river indicated for the far field.
2. CFD Modeling of the Thermal dispersion

2.1 Geometry

The surface discharge cooling system is considered the simplest way for discharging the cooling water into rivers, but the prediction of the plume through river is very difficult. Since the relative cost of the surface discharge of the cooling system of the thermal power plant is very low compared with the other two types, the most cooling systems of the thermal power plants are surface discharge type. In the present study three dimensional model of the cooling water system is considered. The modelled simulation domain was 70 m long and 50 m wide and 8m deep. Flow rate used for at hot fluid inlet was 10 m³/s and at other inlet 5 m³/s. The software code flow 3D used for geometry /mesh generation. Fig1. Shows the overall view of the three dimensional (3D) model generated for cooling water.

![Fig. 1 Three dimensional view of the model generated for cooling circuit thermal plume](image)

2.2 Modeling

General Details of the CFD model are as follows

2.2.1 Modeling Approach

Flow from the hot fluid into the river is categorized as surface discharge flow. Thermal dispersion are developed by using energy balance equation, density elevation, fluid source, gravity, heat transfer and viscosity turbulence model have been used in flow 3D. The software code ‘Flow 3D’ was used for this analysis. The standard k - ε model is used in this simulation. It is a semi-empirical model based on model transport equations for the turbulence kinetic energy (k) and its dissipation rate (ε). In the derivation of the k - ε model, it is assumed that the flow is fully turbulent, and the effects of molecular viscosity are negligible. The standard k - ε model is therefore valid only for fully turbulent flows. Thermal model to account the temperature effect in the form of boussinesq equation for the heat transfer by natural convection was setup along with density and turbulence models to obtain the results. For the Cooling circuit thermal plume geometry, a grid with approximately 219520 cells was constructed with cell size ranging from 0.5 m to 0.01 m in order to get adequate resolution of the geometric features. No-slip conditions were prescribed on all solid surfaces, and all the walls in cooling circuit thermal plume geometry.

2.2.2 Assumptions

The following assumptions were made while studying the performance of the thermal dispersion model: Latent of heat of the vaporization was ignored initial in order the simplified the model. To get the initial picture of the model and it will be incorporate to give the overall problem. The physical properties such as: the specific heat, thermal conductivity and viscosity are assumed to be constant with temperature. The ambient fluid was assume to be river and specified by inlet velocity.

Wind speed and RH humidity are ignored. Assuming the contribution by this term is small. Surface discharge of the hot water fluid. The inflow is continuous and steady; Inflow of 5 m³/s from one side (ambient stream) and the flow 10 m³/s (hot stream) from other end. Considering a ΔT of 5 °C and surrounding fluid temperature was taken 20 °C. The free surface level of the water has no major variation.

3. Results and Discussion

The following subsections discuss the results of the CFD simulation for present thermal load and respective figures are included to illustrate the thermal plume profile in the simulation domain. The contours of the temperature are coloured by the temperature magnitude and the temperature scale (°C) is shown on the top side on each figure. The colour bar located to the top side of each temperature contour shows the temperature magnitude ranging from 20.0 °C as blue to 22°C as red.

3.1 Temperature Contours

Thermal plume stem formation begins at the time 10 second. After that plume appears to be shifted in the upper direction i.e. in the flow direction at time 19 second.

![Fig. 2 Temperature contour after 10 Second](image)
Thermal plume has classical “mushroom” spherical head tail structure in the flow direction at time 30 second. The head cools through the time by thermal diffusion we can see that the hotter isotherm in the head is disappearing one after another in the flow direction at time 59 second. Thermal diffusion causes the temperature to decrease with height and stem radius to increase in the flow direction at time 80 second.

3.2 Validation model

The Zion station is located in northeastern Illinois on the western shore of Lake Michigan about 5.1 km south of the Illinois-Wisconsin state line. It consists of two units (Unit 1 to the south, Unit 2 to the north) employing pressurized water reactors. Each unit is capable of a gross generating capacity of 1100 MWe. Each unit has its own discharge structure located 232 m from shore, about 47 m on either side of the plant centerline, in about 4.5 m of water. The measurement and data acquisition system for relatively rapid measurement of the three dimensional temperature structures of the thermal plumes from the submerged discharge developed by ANL.

Cooling water system and discharge structures of Zion nuclear power station has the following details and temperature contour Frigo, A.A.(1972).
discharge flow rate =50 m$^3$/s. Temperature of the discharge water =28.6 °C. Ambient water temperature= 19.6 °C. River stream flow rate =2.2 cm/s. Fig 9 show isotherm plot at the surface using data acquisition technique.

Fig 9 Temperature contour Zion power plant

Fig 10 to Fig 13 show the temperature contour for the Cooling water system and discharge structures of Zion nuclear power station obtained by using CFD. The discharge flow rate =50 m$^3$/s. Temperature of the discharge water =28.6 °C. Ambient water temperature= 19.6 °C. River stream flow rate =2.2 cm/s.

Fig 10 Temperature contour after 20 second

Fig 11 Temperature contour after 30 second

Fig 12 Temperature contour after 80 second

Fig 13 Temperature contour after 90 second

3.3 Centre line of Temperature decay

Miller and Brighouse developed the following equation for the centre line of Temperature decay from field and laboratory analysis as follows:

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta T_0} = 3.774 \left( \frac{S}{(H_o + Bo) \alpha \epsilon} \right)^{0.415}$$

in which

$\Delta T/\Delta T_0$ excess temperature ratio;
$S$ distance from the outlet on the centre line of the plume;
$F_0$ densimetric Froude number (the ratio of inertia to buoyancy forces acting on the discharge);
$\alpha$ angle between the centre line of the outlet and the river measured in the downstream direction of the flow (in radian);
$\Delta T$ difference between local temperature at any point and normal ambient temperature;
$\Delta T_0$ difference between discharged water temperature at the outlet and normal ambient temperature;
$H_o$ outlet flow depth; m
$T_o$ discharged water temperature;
$Bo$ half width of the outlet;
$A$ aspect ratio $=H_o/Bo$
Table 1 Parameters obtained from theoretical equation and CFD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S. No</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Theoretical Temperature</td>
<td>Model 1: 20.63°C, Zion model: 22.94°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CFD Simulation Temperature</td>
<td>20.67°C, 22.97°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since due to temperature dependent viscosity, hot material has lower viscosity than the ambient fluid. Thermal diffusion causes the temperature to decrease with height and stem radius to increase. The local temperature at any point is found from the theoretical calculation is 20.63°C and simulation local temperature 20.67°C. From the temperature contour we can see the temperature is dispersing in both directions and jet length is 8.25m. The dispersion of the hot water in the river water has density difference in the range of 1 kg/m3 and droplet size of the dispersed phase is in the range of the less than 1 micron.

The local temperature at any point is found from the theoretical calculation is 22.94°C and simulation local temperature 22.97°C. From the temperature contour we can see the temperature is dispersing in directions of the ambient current and jet length is 0.246m. The dispersion of the hot water in the river water has density difference in the range of 1 kg/m3 and droplet size of the dispersed phase is in the range of the less than 1 micron.

Conclusion

Following the CFD analysis, the following conclusions can be made.

1. The temperature contour for cooling water system model was estimated by CFD modelling.
2. The theoretical and CFD temperature decay are nearly same for model 1.
3. For Zion nuclear power system contours was obtained by CFD.
4. The dispersion model for cooling water system may be concluded after finalizing the variation in physical properties.

Nomenclature

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta T/\Delta T_0 & \quad \text{excess temperature ratio;} \\
S & \quad \text{distance from the outlet on the centre line of the plume;} \\
P_0 & \quad \text{densimetric Froude number (the ratio of inertia to buoyancy forces acting on the discharge);} \\
\alpha & \quad \text{angle between the centre line of the outlet and the river measured in the downstream direction of the flow (in radian);} \\
\Delta T & \quad \text{difference between local temperature at any point and normal ambient temperature; } ^\circ \text{C} \\
\Delta T_0 & \quad \text{difference between discharged water temperature at the outlet and normal ambient temperature; } ^\circ \text{C} \\
h_0 & \quad \text{outlet flow depth; m} \\
T_0 & \quad \text{discharged water temperature; } ^\circ \text{C} \\
bo & \quad \text{half width of the outlet; m} \\
A & \quad \text{aspect ratio } h_0/bo \\
D & \quad \text{Diffusion coefficient, m}^2/\text{s} \\
U & \quad \text{Velocity, m/s} \\
K & \quad \text{Turbulence coefficient, m}^2/\text{s}^2 \\
\epsilon & \quad \text{Dissipation rate, m}^2/\text{s}^3
\end{align*}
\]
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