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Abstract

Reinforced soil is a composite material which is formed by the association of frictional soil and tension resisting elements in the form of sheets, strips, nets, or mats of metal, synthetic fabrics or fiber reinforced plastics and arranged in the soil mass in such a way as to reduce or suppress the tensile strain which might develop under gravity and boundary forces. It is well-known facts that most granular soils are strong in compression and shear but weak in tension. The performance of such soils can be substantially improved by introducing reinforcing elements in the direction of tensile strains in the same way as in reinforced concrete. Keeping this in view the present study was taken up. In this study triaxial compression tests were conducted on a medium dense granular soil (RD = 40 %) reinforced with synthetic geogrid sheet. The geogrid sheets equal to plan dimension of split mould were placed within the triaxial test samples in different combinations such as 3 layers, 4 layers and 5 layers at equal vertical spacing. The stiffness modulus (σ/c) and shear strength parameters (c and φ) of reinforced sand corresponding to each combination of geogrid sheet were determined in the laboratory. Tests results indicate that the stiffness modulus and the shear strength parameters of soil increase on the inclusion of geogrid sheet. It was also observed that on increasing the number of geogrid sheet these strength parameters further improve and the improvement is substantial corresponding to 5 layers of geogrid sheet. Thus there is a significant improvement in stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters of reinforced soil due to geogrid sheet and the load carrying capacity of reinforced soil will be improved and immediate settlement will be reduced.
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1. Introduction

The study of reinforced soil as an equivalent homogeneous material has been undertaken by many researchers as an attempt to understand its behavior and to determine its strength characteristics. This approach has the advantages that study of complex interaction between soil and reinforcement is avoided and stress-strain and strength characteristics are obtained as for a homogeneous material. An appropriate and relatively simple method of this study is the conventional triaxial compression test, and therefore used by many researchers. Saran (2010) has described the mechanism and strength characteristics of reinforced soil using tension resisting elements in the form of sheets, strips, mats of metal and randomly distributed natural and synthetic fiber. Singh (2009) conducted the triaxial compression tests on dry Solani (Roorkee, India) sand reinforced with synthetic geogrid sheet, synthetic fiber and natural coir fiber and concluded that there is a significant improvement in stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters of reinforced sand. Talwar (1981) conducted triaxial compression tests on samples of dry Ranipur (Roorkee, India) medium dense and dense sand using aluminium foil of varying thickness in different layers and concluded that there is a significant improvement in shear strength parameters (c and φ) of both medium dense and dense sand. Singh (1991) carried out triaxial compression tests on Amanatgarh (India) sand placed at relative density of 75 % i.e. dense sand with woven geotextiles and found the substantial improvement in shear strength parameters of sand. Singh (2012) studied the effects of Jute geotextile sheet on the CBR value of Itanagar soil and concluded that increasing the number of layers of Jute geotextile sheet increases the CBR value of soil significantly. Gray and Ohashi (1983) conducted a series of direct shear tests on dry sand reinforced with different synthetic, natural and metallic fiber to evaluate the effects of parameters such as fiber orientation, fiber content, fiber area ratios, and fiber stiffness on contribution to shear strength. Based on the test results they concluded that an increase in shear strength is directly proportional to the fiber area ratios and shear strength envelopes for fiber-reinforced sand clearly shows the existence of a threshold confining stress below which the fiber tries to slip or pull out. A number of researchers have
studied the strength behaviour of soil reinforced with various types of randomly distributed elements. Andrews et.al.(1986) used the randomly distributed polymeric mesh elements, Gray and Al Refeai (1986), Mahar and Gray (1990), Ranjan et al.(1996), Consoli et al. (2002), Michalowski and Cermak, (2002), Rao et al. (2006), and Chandra et al. (2008) used synthetic fiber, Fatani et al.(1999) used metallic fiber and Lawton et.al.(1993) used discontinuous multioriented polypropylene elements to reinforce soil and it has been shown that the addition of randomly distributed elements to contributes to the increase in strength and stiffness of soil. Lekha (2004) and Vishnudas et al. (2006) have presented a few case studies of construction and performance monitoring of coir geotextile reinforced bunds and suggested that the use of coir is a cost effective eco-hydrological measure compared to stone-pitching and other stabilization measures used in the protection of slopes and bunds in rural areas. Sivakumar Babu and Vasudevan (2008) and Singh et.al. (2011) studied the strength and stiffness response of soil reinforced with coir-fiber. Singh and Bagra (2013) studied the effects of Jute fiber on shear-strain behaviour parameters and stiffness modulus of soil. Based on the experimental results they found that stress-strain behaviour of soil is improved by inclusion of fiber. They also observed that stiffness modulus of reinforced soil increases considerably which will reduce the immediate settlement of soil significantly.

This paper presents the influence of synthetic geogrid sheet on the shear strength parameters (c and φ) and stiffness modulus (σ_e/c) of medium dense sand. A number of triaxial compression tests have been conducted on sand and sand reinforced with different combinations (i.e. 3 layers, 4 layers and 5 layers) of geogrid sheet. The effects of number of geogrid sheets on shear strength parameters and stiffness modulus of reinforced soil have also been investigated and results were compared with that of unreinforced soil.

2. Materials

2.1 Sand

The sand used in present investigation was taken from the bed of Solani River (Roorkee, India). The index properties and particle size distribution curve of sand are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1 respectively. According to the Indian Standard on Soil Classification for general engineering purposes (IS.: 1498-1992), it has been classified as poorly graded sand (SP) with little fines. The maximum and minimum void ratios were determined in accordance with the procedure laid down in Indian Standard IS.: 2720 (Part-15-1992) and are found to be 0.87 and 0.55 respectively.

2.2 Geogrid Sheet

The Netlon geogrid (CE-121) having wall thickness 4 mm and area of aperture 400 mm² was used in this study. The physical properties of the geogrid sheet supplied by the manufacturer are given in Table 2. A view of a typical geogrid sheet used in the study is shown in Fig. 2.

3. Test Procedure

The air-dried sand specimens for triaxial compression tests were prepared in a standard cylindrical split mould of 100 mm diameter and 200 mm height. All specimens were prepared at relative density of 40 %. Dry sand of specified weight was compacted to make the samples at relative density of 40 % (Dry density of 15.40 kN/m³) using tamping rod made up of solid aluminium material having diameter 8 mm and length 250 mm. In case of geogrid reinforced sand, geogrid sheet was placed in the sand sample of split mould at equal vertical spacing. All the specimens were tested in a conventional triaxial apparatus under three different confining pressures (ranging from 50 kPa to 200 kPa) in undrained condition. Load was applied at a controlled strain rate of 1.58% per minute until the specimen failed/strain of 20% whichever was reached earlier.
and confining pressures and growth parameters (c and e) of Geogrid Reinforced Sand are shown in Table 3. The stiffness modulus of reinforced sand increases with the increase in confining pressure and this aspect is observed for all the combinations of geogrid sheets. It is clear from Table 3 that the stiffness modulus of reinforced sand is restricted to 5 layers of geogrid sheets. The improvement in strength parameters (stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters) of sand reinforced with 1 layer and 2 layers of geogrid sheets was not significant and hence it is not included in this report for discussions. The values of stiffness modulus (\(\sigma_d/c\)) for geogrid reinforced sand having different combinations of geogrid sheets were computed from stress-strain curves and are shown in Table 3. The modified failure envelopes of sand reinforced with different combinations (3 layers, 4 layers and 5 layers) of geogrid sheets were drawn which are shown in Fig.4. The shear strength parameters (c and \(\phi\)) of reinforced sand were measured from the modified failure envelopes and are shown in Table 4.

### 4. Test Results and Discussions

Stress versus strain curves for confining pressures of 100 kPa and 150 kPa were plotted from the tests results of triaxial compression test performed on the sand and sand reinforced with different combinations of geogrid sheets. These plots are shown in Figs.3 (a-b). Because preparation of identical samples of geogrid reinforced sand at 40 % relative density beyond 5 layers of geogrid sheets was not possible hence the present investigation was restricted to 5 layers of geogrid sheets. The improvement in strength parameters (stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters) of sand reinforced with 1 layer and 2 layers of geogrid sheets was not significant and hence it is not included in this report for discussions. The values of stiffness modulus (\(\sigma_d/c\)) for geogrid reinforced sand having different combinations of geogrid sheets were computed from stress-strain curves and are shown in Table 3. The modified failure envelopes of sand reinforced with different combinations (3 layers, 4 layers and 5 layers) of geogrid sheets were drawn which are shown in Fig.4. The shear strength parameters (c and \(\phi\)) of reinforced sand were measured from the modified failure envelopes and are shown in Table 4.

#### 4.1 Effects of Geogrid Sheet

Based on the results of triaxial compression tests performed on geogrid reinforced sand for different combinations of geogrid sheets such as 3 layers, 4 layers and 5 layers, the computed values of stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters of reinforced sand are shown in Table 3 and Table 4 respectively. It is observed from Table 3 that the stiffness modulus of reinforced sand increases with the increase in confining pressure and the number of geogrid sheets. The results of column 3 of Table 3 show the values of stiffness modulus of reinforced sand corresponding to different confining pressures and with different combinations of geogrid sheets. It is clear from the values of stiffness modulus that it increases with the increase in confining pressure and this aspect is observed for all the combinations of geogrid reinforced sand. This is due to the fact that frictional capacity of
reinforcements is directly proportional to major principal stress. As major principal stress increases with the increase in confining pressure, frictional capacity of reinforcements, therefore increases with the increase in confining pressure. It is further observed from column 4 of Table 3 that the average increase in stiffness modulus of reinforced sand increases with the increase in number of layers of geogrid sheet and this trend is observed for all the combinations of geogrid sheets. For instance the average stiffness modulus of unreinforced sand is 4211.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Geogrid Sheet</th>
<th>Angle of Internal Friction (ϕ)</th>
<th>Cohesion (c)</th>
<th>Percentage Increase in (ϕ)</th>
<th>Percentage Increase in (c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>100*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>108*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>108*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Increase in Cohesion of geogrid reinforced sand with respect to that of 3 layers of geogrid reinforced sand.

When 3 layers of geogrid sheet is added to the sand, the average stiffness modulus of reinforced sand increases to 8220 i.e. improvement in stiffness modulus of sand is 95 % (last column of Table 3). Similar trend is observed from the results of Table 3 for 4 layers and 5 layers of geogrid sheets also and the maximum improvement in average stiffness modulus of sand is 131 % over plain sand for 5 layers of geogrid sheet. The significant increase in average stiffness modulus of reinforced sand due to addition of geogrid sheet improves the load-settlement characteristics of sand and the amount of immediate settlement will be reduced significantly. It is observed from Table 4 that the shear strength parameters (c and ϕ) of reinforced sand increases with the increase in layers of geogrid sheets and this aspect is observed for all the combinations of geogrid sheet. Column 2 and Column 3 of Table 4 show the values of angle of internal friction (ϕ) and cohesion (c) of reinforced sand. Column 4 and Column 5 of Table 4 presents the percentage increase in (ϕ) and (c) values of sand due to inclusion of geogrid sheets. Because the cohesion (c) of unreinforced sand was zero, hence percentage increase in (c) value of 4 layers and 5 layers geogrid sheet reinforced sand has been shown with respect to that of 3 layers of geogrid reinforced sand and are shown in column 5 of Table 4. The results of Column 4 of Table 4 clearly show that the percentage increase in (ϕ) values of reinforced sand are 23, 29 and 35 over plain sand for 3 layers, 4 layers and 5 layers of geogrid sheet respectively. Further, the percentage increase in (c) value of 4 layers and 5 layers geogrid reinforced sand are 100 and 108 respectively over the (c) value of 3 layers geogrid reinforced sand. The significant increase in shear strength parameters of sand due to addition of geogrid sheet improves the load carrying capacity of sand and geogrid reinforced sand can be used for supporting the heavier loads of civil engineering structures. The increase in stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters of reinforced sand is due to the fact that inclusion of geogrid sheet into sand mass improves its load deformation behaviour by interacting with the sand particles mechanically through surface friction and also by interlocking. The function of bond or interlock is to transfer the stress from sand to the geogrid sheet by mobilizing its tensile strength. Thus, geogrid sheet works as frictional and tension resisting element. Further, addition of geogrid sheet makes the sand a composite material whose strength and stiffness is greater than that of unreinforced sand.

**Conclusions**

Based on the present investigation it is concluded that preparation of identical samples of geogrid reinforced sand beyond 5 layers of geogrid sheets was not possible and hence optimum layers of geogrid sheet is 5. There is no significant improvement in stiffness modulus and shear strength parameters of sand reinforced with 1 layer and 2 layers of geogrid sheet. The value of stiffness modulus of geogrid reinforced sand increases with the increase in number of layers of geogrid sheets and the maximum percentage increase in stiffness modulus is 131 % over the plain sand for 5 layers of geogrid sheets. The shear strength parameters (c and ϕ) of sand increases with the increase in number of geogrid sheets. The maximum increase in the value of (ϕ) is 35 % over the plain sand for 5 layers of geogrid sheet. The percentage increase in (c) value of sand reinforced with 4 layers and 5 layers of geogrid sheet are 100 and 108 respectively over the (c) value of sand reinforced with 3 layers of geogrid sheet. The stiffness modulus of reinforced sand increases with the increase in confining pressure.
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